STACKLEAK: A Long Way to the Linux Kernel Mainline

Alexander Popov

Positive Technologies

August 27, 2018



- Alexander Popov
- Linux kernel developer

Security researcher at

POSITIVE TECHNOLOGIES

Agenda

- STACKLEAK overview, credit to grsecurity/PaX
- My role
- STACKLEAK as a security feature
 - Affected kernel vulnerabilities
 - Protection mechanisms
 - Performance penalty
- The way to the Mainline
 - Timeline and the current state
 - Changes from the original version
 - Interactions with Linus and subsystem maintainers

STACKLEAK Overview

- Awesome Linux kernel security feature
- Developed by PaX Team (kudos!)
- PAX_MEMORY_STACKLEAK in grsecurity/PaX patch
- grsecurity/PaX patch is not freely available now
- The last public version is for 4.9 kernel (April 2017)

Bring STACKLEAK into the Linux kernel mainline

Thanks to Positive Technologies for allowing me to spend part of my working time on it!

> Thanks to my wife and kids for allowing me to spend plenty of my free time on it!

• Extract STACKLEAK from grsecurity/PaX patch

\$ wc -1 ../grsecurity-3.1-4.9.24-201704252333.patch 225976 ../grsecurity-3.1-4.9.24-201704252333.patch

- Carefully learn it bit by bit
- Send to LKML, get feedback, improve, repeat ...

• Extract STACKLEAK from grsecurity/PaX patch

\$ wc -1 ../grsecurity-3.1-4.9.24-201704252333.patch 225976 ../grsecurity-3.1-4.9.24-201704252333.patch

- Carefully learn it bit by bit
- Send to LKML, get feedback, improve, repeat ...

for more than a year: ${\bf 15}$ versions of the patch series

Now about **STACKLEAK** security features

Linux Kernel Defence Map: Whole Picture

https://github.com/a13xp0p0v/linux-kernel-defence-map



Alexander Popov (Positive Technologies)

STACKLEAK: A Long Way to the Linux Kernel Mainline

Linux Kernel Defence Map: STACKLEAK Part



STACKLEAK Security Features (1)

• Erases the kernel stack at the end of syscalls

 Reduces the information that can be revealed through some* kernel stack leak bugs

Kernel Stack Leak Bug Example



STACKLEAK Mitigation of Such Bugs



Alexander Popov (Positive Technologies)

- Blocks some* uninitialized kernel stack variable attacks
- Nice examples: CVE-2010-2963, CVE-2017-17712
- See cool write-up by Kees Cook: https://outflux.net/blog/archives/2010/10/19/cve-2010-2963-v4l-compat-exploit/

Uninitialized Stack Variable Attack



Mitigation of Uninitialized Stack Variable Attacks



Improves runtime detection of kernel stack depth overflow (blocks Stack Clash attack)

In mainline kernel STACKLEAK would be effective against kernel stack depth overflow only **in combination** with:

- CONFIG_THREAD_INFO_IN_TASK
- CONFIG_VMAP_STACK (kudos to Andy Lutomirski)



Viktor Vasnetsov, Bogatyrs (1898)

Stack Clash Attack for the Kernel Stack

Idea by Gael Delalleau: "Large memory management vulnerabilities" (2005) Revisited in "The Stack Clash" by Qualys Research Team (2017)



STACKLEAK vs Stack Clash

 Read about STACKLEAK vs Stack Clash on grsecurity blog: https://grsecurity.net/an_ancient_kernel_hole_is_not_closed.php

• This code runs before each alloca() call:

STACKLEAK vs Stack Clash

 Read about STACKLEAK vs Stack Clash on grsecurity blog: https://grsecurity.net/an_ancient_kernel_hole_is_not_closed.php

• This code runs before each alloca() call:

```
if (size >= stack_left) {
#if !defined(CONFIG_VMAP_STACK) && defined(CONFIG_SCHED_STACK_END_CHECK)
        panic("alloca() over the kernel stack boundary\n");
#else
        BUG();
#endif
    }
```

• Hated by Linus

Cool, But What's the Price? (1)

Brief performance testing on x86_64 Hardware: Intel Core i7-4770, 16 GB RAM Test 1, attractive: building the Linux kernel with x86_64 defconfig

\$ time make

```
Result on 4.18:
    real 12m14.124s
    user 11m17.565s
    sys 1m6.943s
Result on 4.18+stackleak:
    real 12m20.335s (+0.85%)
    user 11m23.283s
    sys 1m8.221s
```

Brief performance testing on x86_64
Hardware: Intel Core i7-4770, 16 GB RAM
Test 2, UNattractive:
 \$ hackbench -s 4096 -1 2000 -g 15 -f 25 -P
Average on 4.18: 9.08s
Average on 4.18+stackleak: 9.47s (+4.3%)

Cool, But What's the Price? (3)

Conclusions

1. The performance penalty varies for different workloads

2. Test STACKLEAK on your expected workload before deploying in production (STACKLEAK_METRICS may help)

The STACKLEAK feature consists of:

- the code erasing the used part of the kernel thread stack
- the GCC plugin performing compile-time instrumentation for:
 - tracking the lowest border of the kernel stack
 - > alloca() check

STACKLEAK Upstreaming Timeline



STACKLEAK: Changes from the Original Version (1)

Bugs fixed in:

- gcc plugin
- assertions in kernel stack tracking and alloca() check
- points of kernel stack erasing (found missing)

Plenty of refactoring:

- extracted the common part for easy porting to new platforms (includes rewriting of the stack erasing in C)
- got rid of hardcoded magic numbers, documented the code
- polished the codestyle until Ingo Molnar was satisfied (phew!)

STACKLEAK: Changes from the Original Version (2)

New functionality:

- x86_64 trampoline stack support
- tests for STACKLEAK (together with Tycho Andersen)
- arm64 support (by Laura Abbott)
- gcc-8 support in the plugin (together with Laura Abbott)

New functionality requested by Ingo Molnar:

- CONFIG_STACKLEAK_METRICS for performance evaluations
- CONFIG_STACKLEAK_RUNTIME_DISABLE (he forced me)

Dropped functionality:

- assertions in stack tracking (erroneous)
- stack erasing after ptrace/seccomp/auditing (hated by Linus)
- alloca() checking (hated by Linus):
 - BUG_ON() is now prohibited
 - ▶ all VLA (Variable Length Arrays) will be removed instead

STACKLEAK: Changes from the Original Version (4)

Brad Spengler

How security functionality will be properly implemented and maintained upstream if the maintainers don't understand what the code they've copy+pasted from grsecurity does in the first place

https://grsecurity.net/an_ancient_kernel_hole_is_not_closed.php

That is **not applicable** to STACKLEAK upstreaming efforts

What Does "Burnt by Linus" Mean?

- Strong language, even swearing (example)
- Technical objections are mixed with it
- NAKing without looking at the patches (example)
- Simply ignoring
- Maybe he is irritated with kernel hardening by default?

What Does "Burnt by Linus" Mean?

- Strong language, even swearing (example)
- Technical objections are mixed with it
- NAKing without looking at the patches (example)
- Simply ignoring
- Maybe he is irritated with kernel hardening by default?

• I love the Linux kernel, but THAT kills my motivation

Sisyphus or Phoenix?

Will Linus finally merge STACKLEAK?

No?



by Johann Vogel



Yes?

by Friedrich Justin Bertuch

Closing Thoughts

- WE are the Linux Kernel Community
- WE are responsible for servers, laptops, phones, PLCs, laser cutters, and other crazy things running GNU/Linux
- Let's put MORE effort into Linux Kernel Security and we will not be ignored!



Thanks! Questions?

 $\frac{\texttt{alex.popov@linux.com}}{@\texttt{a13xp0p0v}}$

http://blog.ptsecurity.com/ @ptsecurity

* STACKLEAK doesn't help against such attacks during a **single** syscall

Erasing the Kernel Stack (1)

stackleak_erase() on x86_64, if called from trampoline stack





Alexander Popov (Positive Technologies)

Erasing the Kernel Stack (2)

stackleak_erase() on x86_64, if called from trampoline stack



Alexander Popov (Positive Technologies)

STACKLEAK: A Long Way to the Linux Kernel Mainline

- Is done by STACKLEAK GCC plugin
- Inserts stackleak_track_stack() call for functions that:
 - have a big stack frame
 - > call alloca() (have variable length arrays)
- Inserts stackleak_check_alloca() call before alloca() **

****** In **v15** Stack Clash detection is completely dropped, since:

- VLA removal is almost finished
- global '-Wvla' flag should arrive soon https://patchwork.kernel.org/patch/10489873